

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/003,283	12/06/2001	Shinya Seno	217042US3	5250
22850	22850 7590 11/16/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			HEITBRINK, JILL LYNNE	
ALEXANDR	IA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1732	

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		mul
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/003,283	SENO ET AL.
omoc Notion Guinnary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAII ING DATE of this communication on	Jill L. Heitbrink	1732
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sneet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABADDOME.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 A</u> This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is 3 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		·
 4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-29 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.3.6.7 and 18-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4 and 5 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 8-17 are subject to restriction and/or expendence. 	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n No I in this National Stage
ttachment(s)) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) [] (-1	TO 440
Notice of Neterences cited (PTO-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (F Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pate 6) Other:	D

Art Unit: 1732

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 26 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. As for claim 26, the specification does not have support for "a recessed part" not being on the transfer face. As for claim 29 the metal mold having a unitary structure does not have support in the original specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 3, 6, 7 and 18-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yasuda et al. Pat. No. 5,972,276 taken together with Eckardt et al. Pat. No. 6,294,126.

Application/Control Number: 10/003,283

Art Unit: 1732

Page 3

Yasuda et al. discloses the injection molding of a resin into the cavity followed by 5. solidification (production of a shaped resin article), an outside air inlet part (col. 4, line 25) formed on the mold and opened to an optional part of the cavity (col. 4, lines 26 and 27) to allow the outside of the mold to communicate with the cavity inside, and the flow direction (see Fig. 1) of the molten resin injected into the cavity being across the outside air inlet part. Eckardt teaches a stepped part (9) formed at an inner wall of the cavity and positioned in a corner (Fig. 6) of the part. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the projected stepped part as taught by Eckardt with the outside air inlet parts of Yasuda so as to precisely define the limit for the gas blanket in the desired region of the cavity. The outside air inlet part being formed in the stepped part or the boundary of the steps of the stepped part is clearly taught by Eckardt which shows the passage 13 in the projection from the cavity surface. The gas feeding means for forcedly feeding a prescribed gas during and/or after the injection of the molten resin is disclosed by Yasuda et al. (col. 11, lines 34-38). The width of the slit would have been within the claimed range so as to avoid the resin from entering the outside air inlet part. The specifically low gas pressure would have been within the skill of the art since the gas is not to penetrate the resin surface.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 4 and 5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art does not teach the claimed

Application/Control Number: 10/003,283

Art Unit: 1732

combination wherein the stepped part formed at an inner wall of the cavity has a plurality of continuous steps.

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, 6, 7 and 18-29 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 8. Claims 8-17 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on April 5, 2004.
- 9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 1732

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jill L. Heitbrink whose telephone number is (571) 272-1199. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9 am -2 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jill L. Heitbrink Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732